Exercise 1.1
a.

## Boxplot for sample6


b.

Min: $\quad-8.37000$
Max: $\quad 5.66000$
1st Qu.: -0.70500
2rd Qu.: -0.05
3rd Qu.: 0.74000
Median: $\quad-0.05000$
Mean: -0.01415
Std dev.: 1.593163
C.

Most of the data points are concentrated around the zero, roughly between -1.5 and 1.5.
d.

Boxplot for sample12


```
Min: -5.43000
Max: 3.64000
1st Qu.: -1.89800
2rd Qu.: 0.76
3rd Qu.: 1.71200
Median: 0.76000
Mean: 0.02661
Std dev.: 2.140151
The data is split in two, roughly centered around -3 and 1.
e.
The two data sets do not seem to be from the same population. Their distribution is vastly different and their ranges are quite dissimilar.
```


## Exercise 1.2



Type 1:
Four Cylinders:

| Min. | 1st Qu. | Median | Mean | 3rd Qu. | Max. | StDev. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 21.50 | 27.45 | 30.50 | 30.02 | 32.70 | 37.30 | 4.182447 |

Data points in category: 19

Five Cylinders:
Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
$20.320 .3 \quad 20.3 \quad 20.3 \quad 20.3 \quad 20.3$
Data points in category: 1

Six Cylinders:
Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max. StDev.
$16.20 \quad 18.23 \quad 20.70 \quad 21.08 \quad 21.98 \quad 28.80 \quad 4.077526$
Data points in category: 10

Eight Cylinders:
Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max. StDev.
$15.50 \quad 16.80 \quad 17.30 \quad 17.42 \quad 18.27 \quad 19.20 \quad 1.192536$
Data points in category: 8

## Type 2:

Four Cylinders:

| Min. | 1st Qu. | Median | Mean | 3rd Qu. | Max. | StDev. |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 21.40 | 22.80 | 26.00 | 26.66 | 30.40 | 33.90 | 4.509828 |

Data points in category: 11

Six Cylinders:
Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max. StDev.
$17.80 \quad 18.65 \quad 19.70 \quad 19.74 \quad 21.00 \quad 21.40 \quad 1.453567$

Data points in category: 7

Eight Cylinders:
Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max. StDev.
$10.40 \quad 14.40 \quad 15.20 \quad 15.10 \quad 16.25 \quad 19.20 \quad 2.560048$
Data points in category: 14

In both types of cars the four cylinder versions seem to get the most miles to the gallon on average, but also show the greatest fluctuation, in some cases falling well below six cylinder models. Cars of type 1 appear to get worse mpg overall than their type 2 counterparts.
The single five cylinder data point for type 1 looks like it may be roughly consistent with the drop of in mpg for this type of car with the number of cylinders, but since it is just a single data point, it cannot be validate one way or the other.

## Exercise 1.3

a.

Yes, based on the correlation coefficient of 0.9962575 , it is very likely that this sample is from population with a normal
distribution.
b.
$N(12.22,0.5285562)$ appears to be appropriate for this distribution.
C.
i) 0.3547935
ii) 0.06431387
iii) 0.9239101
d.
i) 0.33
ii) 0.06
iii) 0.92

The values obtained from counting
the sample data matches the predicted values from the normal distribution about as

closely as possible with this amount of sample data.
e.

The correlation between the data from $c$ and $d$ shows that it is highly probable that the earlier assumption that the sample data is from a population with a normal distribution is correct.

Exercise 1.4
a.
$\mu_{\mathrm{L}}=792.458$
$m_{1879}=852.4$
$S_{1879}=79.01055$
b.

The chance for a single measurement to be smaller than $m_{1878}$ is 0.5 , or $50 \%$.
C.

This chance is also 0.5.
d.

These probabilities seem to suggest that based on the extracted values ( $m_{1879}$ and $s_{1879}$ ) that the errors in Michelson's measurements were made in a manner that followed a normal distribution, since the mean and standard deviation. However, further investigation is needed if this is to be determined with greater certainty, as the mean and standard deviation may also hold for other distributions and simply be a coincidence in this case.

## Exercise 1.5

a.
f <- function (n, r) \{
dist $=r \exp (n, r)$;
main=paste("Histogram of rexp: n=", n, " r=", r, sep="");
hist (dist, xlim=range (0,1), xlab="Randomly generated
distribution", ylab="Count for values", main=main, breaks=20);
lines(density(dist), col="red");
\}
b.

The parameter r has the most influence on how well the histogram follows the density. As the sample size increases, the distribution

Histogram of rexp: $n=100 r=2$


Histogram of rexp: $\mathrm{n}=1000 \mathrm{r}=\mathbf{2}$


Histogram of rexp: $\mathrm{n}=100 \mathrm{r}=6$


Histogram of rexp: $\mathrm{n}=1000 \mathrm{r}=6$


## Appendix

Exercise 1.1
a.
data $=$ scan("sample6.txt")
boxplot(data, main="Boxplot for sample6", ylab="Values")
b.

```
summary(data)
```

quantile(data, 0.5)
sd(data)
c.
-
d.

Same as a \& b. (With the exception of the filename.)
e.
-

Exercise 1.2
source("mileage.txt")
par (mfrow=c $(1,2))$
boxplot(mpg1~cyl1, data=mileage[1:2], ylim=c(10,40), xlab="Cylinders", ylab="Miles per gallon", main="Car type 1")
boxplot(mpg2~cyl2, data=mileage[3:4], ylim=c(10,40), xlab="Cylinders", ylab="Miles per gallon", main="Car type 2")

```
type1=matrix(c(mileage$mpg1, mileage$cyl1), 38, 2)
```

type2=matrix(c(mileage\$cyl2, mileage\$mpg2), 32, 2)
type1=type1[order(type1[, 1]),]
type2=type2[order(type2[, 1]),]
"type_1" <- list(
"four" = c(type1[1:19,2]),
"five" = c(type1[20,2]),
"six" = c(type1[21:30,2]),
"eight" = c(type1[31:38,2])
)
"type_2" <- list(
"four" = c(type2[1:11,2]),
"six" = c(type2[12:18,2]),
"eight" = c(type2[19:32,2])
)
summary (type_1\$four)
sd(type_1\$four)
length(type_1\$four)

```
summary(type_1$five)
length(type_1$five)
summary(type_1$six)
sd(type_1$six)
length(type_1$six)
summary(type_1$eight)
sd(type_1$eight)
length(type_1$eight)
summary(type_2$four)
sd(type_2$four)
length(type_2$four)
summary(type_2$six)
sd(type_2$six)
length(type_2$six)
summary(type_2$eight)
sd(type_2$eight)
length(type_2$eight)
Exercise 1.3
a.
dell = scan("logdell.txt")
V=qqnorm(dell, plot=FALSE)
cor(V$x, V$y)
b .
mean(dell)
sd(dell)
qqplot(dell, rnorm(n=300, m=12.22, sd=0.5285562));abline(0,1, col="red");
C.
i) pnorm(12, mean=mean(dell), sd=sd(dell))
ii) 1 - pnorm(13, mean=mean(dell), sd=sd(dell))
iii) pnorm(13, mean=mean(dell), sd=sd(dell)) - pnorm(11, mean=mean(dell),
sd=sd(dell))
d.
CountLower <- function(x, max) {
    count = 0
    for(i in 1:length(x)) {
        if(x[i] <= max) {
                count = count + 1
            }
    }
    return(count)
}
CountHigher <- function(x, max) {
    count = 0
```

```
    for(i in 1:length(x)) {
    if(x[i] >= max) {
        count = count + 1
    }
}
return(count)
}
CountLower(dell, 12)/length(dell)
CountHigher(dell, 13)/length(dell)
(CountLower(dell, 13) - CountLower(dell, 11)) / length(dell)
e.
_
Exercise 1.4
a.
muL=299792.458-299000
source("light.txt")
m1879=mean(light$`1879`)
s1879=sd(light$`1879`)
b .
pnorm(m1879, m1879, s1879)
C.
(100*0.5)/100
d.
-
Exercise 1.5
a.
Code for this exercise is in the main document.
b .
par(mfrow=c (2,2));
source("1.5.a.R");
f(100,2);
f(100,6);
f(1000,2);
f(1000,6);
```

